

Paper 116

Δ What Factors are Associated with Infection in Open Fractures?**A Predictive Model Based on a Prospective Evaluation of 2338 Patients***Paul Tornetta III, MD¹; Gregory Della Rocca, MD, PhD, FACS²; Saam Morshed, MD³;**Clifford Jones, MD, FACS⁴; Diane Heels-Ansdell, MSc⁵; Sheila Sprague, PhD⁵;**Brad Petrisor, MD⁶; Kyle Jeray, MD⁷; Mohit Bhandari, MD, FRCSC, PhD⁸; FLOW Investigators**¹Boston Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA;**²University of Missouri School of Medicine, Columbia, Missouri, USA;**³University of California San Francisco, San Francisco General Hospital, Orthopaedic Trauma Institute, San Francisco, California, USA**⁴Orthopaedic Associates of Michigan, Grand Rapids, Michigan, USA;**⁵McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, CANADA;**⁶Hamilton General Hospital, Ontario, CANADA;**⁷Greenville Health System University Medical Center, Greenville, South Carolina, USA;**⁸MacOrtho Research, Ontario, CANADA*

Purpose: The primary risk of open fractures is infection. The majority of data regarding infection in open fractures exist in tibial shaft fractures, which have been reported to have the highest rate of infection. Additionally, upper extremity injuries are thought to be more resistant to infection than lower extremity injuries. The purpose of this study is to analyze a large series of open fractures of the lower and upper extremities to determine the risk factors that predict the development of infection.

Methods: This study was a prospective evaluation of soap and irrigation pressure on a combined event outcome. The trial showed no difference in irrigation pressure and a slight advantage using saline rather than soap on the primary outcome of revision surgery. In this study, a statistician used a Cox proportional hazards regression analysis to identify the factors associated with “any” and “deep” infection. Results are presented as hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Irrigation pressure and use of soap were included in both models as they were the basis of the initial study. A research team identified the most likely factors that would contribute to infection and limited the number of factors to the number of events/10 as is recommended for regression analysis.

Results: We analyzed 2338 patients with upper extremity (UE) and lower extremity (LE) open fractures to identify the risk factors for infection. The average age was 45 and 69% were male. Location was divided into tibia (883) (shaft, plateau and pilon), other LE (726), and UE (729). There were 289 infections of which 156 were deep. For all factors found to be predictive, the following text shows HRs and P values (also see data tables). The factors associated with any infection were: location (tibia vs UE: 5.13, other LE vs UE: 3.63; $P < 0.001$), high-energy mechanism of injury (0.61; $P = 0.019$), degree of contamination (moderate vs mild: 1.08, severe versus mild: 2.12; $P = 0.004$) and need for flap coverage (1.82; $P = 0.017$). The factors associated with deep infection were: location (tibia vs UE: 2.72, other LE vs UE: 2.98; $P < 0.001$), Gustilo type 3 (1.57; $P = 0.016$), delayed closure (1.89; $P = 0.003$), and need for flap (2.05; $P = 0.017$).

Δ OTA Grant

See pages 49 - 106 for financial disclosure information.

Conclusion: We performed a regression analysis of the trial data to determine the risk factors for any infections and for deep infections. Having a tibia fracture was the strongest predictor of any and deep infection. Degree of contamination and grade 3 open fracture predicted any and deep infection, respectively. Finally, the need for a flap for coverage predicted any and deep infection and a delayed closure predicted deep infection independent of the requirement for a flap. Soap and irrigation pressure were not predictive in this model.

The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA clearance status of each drug or medical device he or she wishes to use in clinical practice.

What Factors are Associated With Infection in Open Fractures? A Predictive Model Based on a Prospective Evaluation of 2338 Patients

Cox proportional hazards regression analysis with time to **Any Infection** as the dependent variable. N=2338 with 289 events (complete case). Our main analysis for Any Infection excludes 7 patients with delayed definitive fixation who experienced an infection prior to definitive fixation.

| Independent Variable | Incidence of Predictors n (%) | Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | p-value |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Age (10-year increase) | 45.1 (17.8) mean (SD) | 1.04 (0.96, 1.12) | 0.376 |
| Male | 1622 (69.4) | 1.05 (0.79, 1.39) | 0.733 |
| Fracture location | | | <0.001 |
| Tibia | 883 (37.8) | 5.13 (3.28, 8.02) | |
| Other lower extremity | 726 (31.1) | 3.63 (2.38, 5.55) | |
| Upper extremity | 729 (31.2) | 1.00 | |
| High energy mechanism of injury | 2058 (88.0) | 0.61 (0.41, 0.92) | 0.019 |
| Current smoker | 754 (32.2) | 1.08 (0.84, 1.40) | 0.537 |
| Other major injury* | 722 (30.9) | 0.91 (0.69, 1.19) | 0.496 |
| Comminuted or segmental fracture | 1579 (67.5) | 1.21 (0.91, 1.60) | 0.182 |
| Bone loss | 512 (21.9) | 1.19 (0.90, 1.58) | 0.223 |
| Degree of contamination | | | 0.004 |
| Mild | 1799 (76.9) | 1.00 | |
| Moderate | 416 (17.8) | 1.08 (0.78, 1.49) | |
| Severe | 123 (5.3) | 2.12 (1.35, 3.32) | |
| Method of definitive fixation | | | 0.148 |
| Nail | 792 (33.9) | 1.00 | |
| Plate | 1177 (50.3) | 1.36 (0.997, 1.86) | |
| Other | 369 (15.8) | 1.30 (0.86, 1.98) | |
| Bone grafting at initial surgery | 50 (2.1) | 0.95 (0.35, 2.60) | 0.918 |
| Type III post-operative Gustilo Type | 846 (36.2) | 1.23 (0.92, 1.64) | 0.161 |
| Total operating time ≥120 minutes | 997 (42.6) | 1.11 (0.86, 1.44) | 0.429 |
| Time to first incision from injury | | | 0.126 |
| <6 hours | 465 (19.9) | 1.00 | |
| 6 to 12 hours | 980 (41.9) | 0.92 (0.68, 1.23) | |
| >12 to 24 hours | 785 (33.6) | 0.71 (0.50, 1.02) | |
| >24 hours | 108 (4.6) | 1.27 (0.66, 2.43) | |
| Wound not closed at initial I&D | 373 (16.0) | 0.95 (0.66, 1.38) | 0.796 |
| Randomized solution | | | 0.922 |
| Soap | 1178 (50.4) | 1.01 (0.80, 1.28) | |
| Saline | 1160 (49.6) | 1.00 | |
| Randomized pressure | | | 0.833 |
| High | 784 (33.5) | 1.00 | |
| Low | 772 (33.0) | 1.05 (0.79, 1.41) | |
| Very low | 782 (33.4) | 1.09 (0.82, 1.46) | |
| Time-dependent variables | | | |
| Wound flap (re-operation) | 108 (4.6) ever | 1.82 (1.11, 2.99) | 0.017 |

* At least one of the following: femoral fracture, pelvic fracture, spinal fracture, liver injury, bowel injury, splenic injury, other abdominal injury, hemo/pneumothorax, closed head injury, urogenital injury, traumatic amputation, vascular injury, lung contusion, thoracic injury, hip fracture, spinal injury.

What Factors are Associated With Infection in Open Fractures? A Predictive Model Based on a Prospective Evaluation of 2338 Patients

Cox proportional hazards regression analysis with time to **Deep Infection** as the dependent variable. N=2346 with 156 events (complete case).

| Independent Variable | Incidence of Predictors n (%) | Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | p-value |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Age (10-year increase) | 45.1 (17.7) mean (SD) | 1.07 (0.96, 1.18) | 0.220 |
| Male | 1626 (69.3) | 0.92 (0.64, 1.33) | 0.663 |
| Fracture location | | | <0.001 |
| Tibia | 885 (37.7) | 2.72 (1.57, 4.71) | |
| Other lower extremity | 729 (31.1) | 2.98 (1.72, 5.18) | |
| Upper extremity | 732 (31.2) | 1.00 | |
| Current smoker | 758 (32.3) | 1.03 (0.73, 1.47) | 0.855 |
| Other major injury* | 724 (30.9) | 1.03 (0.72, 1.45) | 0.892 |
| Type III post-operative Gustilo Type | 852 (36.3) | 1.57 (1.09, 2.27) | 0.016 |
| Total operating time ≥120 minutes | 1000 (42.6) | 0.98 (0.69, 1.39) | 0.921 |
| Time to first incision from injury | | | 0.083 |
| <6 hours | 467 (19.9) | 1.00 | |
| 6 to 12 hours | 985 (42.0) | 0.77 (0.52, 1.13) | |
| >12 to 24 hours | 786 (33.5) | 0.54 (0.34, 0.87) | |
| >24 hours | 108 (4.6) | 0.88 (0.36, 2.16) | |
| Wound not closed at initial I&D | 380 (16.2) | 1.89 (1.24, 2.90) | 0.003 |
| Randomized solution | | | 0.955 |
| Soap | 1181 (50.3) | 0.99 (0.72, 1.36) | |
| Saline | 1165 (49.7) | 1.00 | |
| Randomized pressure | | | 0.817 |
| High | 787 (33.5) | 1.00 | |
| Low | 774 (33.0) | 1.10 (0.75, 1.62) | |
| Very low | 785 (33.5) | 0.98 (0.66, 1.46) | |
| Time-dependent variables | | | |
| Wound flap (re-operation) | 110 (4.7) ever | 2.05 (1.14, 3.71) | 0.017 |

* At least one of the following: femoral fracture, pelvic fracture, spinal fracture, liver injury, bowel injury, splenic injury, other abdominal injury, hemo/pneumothorax, closed head injury, urogenital injury, traumatic amputation, vascular injury, lung contusion, thoracic injury, hip fracture, spinal injury.

Sensitivity Analysis #1 – Outcome: Deep Infection

- Same as the main model except remove operative time and time to first incision from injury (these two variables account for much of the missing data).
- N=2401. No substantial changes for any predictor variables (ie. no changes in the conclusions).

The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA clearance status of each drug or medical device he or she wishes to use in clinical practice.