Paper 115

Δ Prognostic Factors for Predicting Reoperations after Operative Management of Open Fractures

Mohit Bhandari, MD, FRCSC, PhD¹; Kyle Jeray, MD²; Brad Petrisor, MD³; [effrey Anglen, MD, FACS⁴; Gregory Della Rocca, MD, PhD, FACS⁵; PJ Devereaux, MD⁶; Diane Heels-Ansdell, MSc⁶; Clifford Jones, MD, FACS⁷; Hans Kreder, MD⁸; Susan Liew, MD⁹; Kim Madden, MSc⁶; Sun Makosso-Kallyth, PhD⁶; Paula McKay, BSc⁶; Steven Papp, MD, FRCPC¹⁰; Parag Sancheti, MD¹¹; Emil H. Schemitsch, MD¹²; Sheila Sprague, PhD⁶; Stephanie Tanner, MS²; Paul Tornetta III, MD¹³; Ted Tufescu, MD¹⁴; Stephen D. Walter, BSc, ARCS, PhD⁶; Gordon Guyatt, MD⁶; FLOW Investigators⁶ ¹MacOrtho Research, Ontario, CANADA; ²Greenville Health System University Medical Center, Greenville, South Carolina, USA; ³Hamilton General Hospital, Ontario, CANADA; ⁴Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA; ⁵University of Missouri School of Medicine, Columbia, Missouri, USA; ⁶McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, CANADA; ⁷Orthopaedic Associates of Michigan, Grand Rapids, Michigan, USA; ⁸University of Toronto Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, CANADA; ⁹The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, AUSTRALIA; ¹⁰University of Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA; ¹¹Sancheti Institute, Maharashtra, INDIA; ¹²St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, CANADA; ¹³Boston Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ¹⁴University of Manitoba, Manitoba, CANADA

Purpose: Open fractures are often complicated by infections, wound healing problems, and failure of fracture healing—many of which necessitate operative management and result in delayed return to function. Identifying factors that are associated with these detrimental outcomes may help to optimize the care of these challenging injuries. The FLOW (Fluid Lavage of Open Wounds) trial recently evaluated the effects of irrigation solution and pressure in 2447 patients with open extremity fractures of whom 323 required a reoperation. Using the data from this multicenter trial, we investigated the association between key baseline and surgical factors and risk of reoperation within 1 year.

Methods: Based on biologic rationale and previous reports in the literature, we identified 23 potential prognostic factors from the baseline, fracture characteristics, and surgical data collected as part of the FLOW trial. Selected factors are summarized in Table 1. We used a multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis to investigate their association with increased risk of reoperation within 1 year to treat an infection, wound healing problem, or fracture healing problem (ie, primary outcome of the FLOW trial). All tests were 2-tailed with $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results: We found the following fracture characteristics were associated with an increased risk of reoperation: lower extremity fractures (hazard ratio [HR] = 2.93, 95% CI 1.97-4.35),

PAPER ABSTRACTS

Gustilo-Anderson Type III fractures (HR = 1.49, 95% CI 1.14-1.96), and moderate to severe wound contamination (HR = 1.33, 95% CI 1.01-1.75). We also found that patients who received a surgical preparation solution in the emergency room and those who received an iodine-based preparation solution in the operating room had decreased risk of reoperation (HR = 0.66, 95% CI 0.48-0.91 and HR = 0.53, 95% CI 0.30-0.94, respectively). Delayed time to initial surgery (≥ 6 hours from injury) was not associated with an increased risk of reoperation.

Conclusion: As expected, Gustilo-Anderson Type III fractures, highly contaminated wounds, and fractures of the lower extremity were associated with an increased risk of reoperation. Results of this analysis also suggest that surgeons' choice of skin preparation solution and the use of a skin preparation solution in the emergency room may have an impact on rates of reoperation following an open fracture, warranting further investigation.

The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA clearance status of each drug or medical device he or she wishes to use in clinical practice.

Table 1: Factors associated with re-operation within one year to treat an infection, wound healing problem or fracture healing problem in open fractures

- English and A 2				
Independent Variable	Frequency n (%)	Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	p-value	
Gender				
Male	1598 (69.48)	1.00	0.5111	
Female	702 (30.52)	1.097 (0.833-1.443)		
Smoking status				
Current smoker	750 (32.61)	1.020 (0.803-1.296)	0.8696	
Non-smoker	1550 (67.39)	1.00		
Mechanism of Injury	, , ,			
High energy	2029 (88.22)	0.940 (0.605-1.463)	0.7849	
Low energy	271 (11.78)	1.00		
Major Concomitant Trauma	, í			
Yes	310 (13.48)	1.00	0.5080	
No	1990 (86.52)	0.897 (0.650-1.237)		
Work-related Injury				
Yes	332 (14.43)	1.351 (0.996-1.833)	0.0533	
No	1968 (85.57)	1.00		
OTA Fracture Class			1	
A	713 (31.00)	1.00		
B	710 (30.87)	1.092 (0.802-1.487)	0.5778	
C	877 (38.13)	1.235 (0.919-1.661)	0.1619	
Location	877 (38.13)	1.235 (0.919-1.001)	0.1019	
Lower extremity fracture	1582 (68.78)	2.927 (1.970-4.351)	<.0001	
Upper extremity fracture	718 (31.22)	1.00	<.0001	
Wound Contamination	/16 (31.22)	1.00		
Mild	1765 (76.74)	1.00	0.0439	
Moderate/Severe	535 (23.26)	1.329 (1.008-1.753)	0.0439	
Wound Prep in ER	333 (23.20)	1.329 (1.008-1.733)		
1	560 (24.74)	0 660 (0 481 0 006)	0.0100	
Yes (Iodine, Chlorhex, Alcohol)	569 (24.74)	0.660 (0.481-0.906)	0.0100	
No Randomized Solution	1731 (75.26)	1.00		
	1144 (40.74)	1.00	0.0055	
Saline	1144 (49.74)	1.00	0.0055	
Soap	1156 (50.26)	1.382 (1.100-17.37)		
Randomized Pressure	770 (22.40)	1.05((0.500.1.00()	0.70.10	
Very Low	770 (33.48)	1.056 (0.798-1.396)	0.7042	
Low	755 (32.83)	1.00	0.0507	
High	775 (33.70)	1.026 (0.775-1.358)	0.8596	
Time to Incision from Injury	450 (10.01)	1.00	0.5505	
<6 hrs	458 (19.91)	1.00	0.7535	
$\geq 6 \text{ hrs}$	1842 (80.09)	1.044 (0.797-1.369)		
Iodine Prep Solution in OR				
Yes	1195 (51.96)	0.527 (0.296-0.935)	0.0287	
No	1105 (48.04)	1.00		
Chlorhexidine Prep Solution in OR				
Yes	1019 (44.30)	0.651 (0.370-1.145)	0.1366	
No	1281 (55.70)	1.0		
Alcohol Prep Solution in OR				
Yes	389 (16.91)	0.883 (0.640, 1.221)	0.4524	
No	1911 (83.09)	1.0		
Other Prep Solution in OR				
Yes	137 (5.96)	1.067 (0.568-2.008)	0.8387	
No	2163 (94.04)	1.0		

See pages 49 - 106 for financial disclosure information.

919 (39.96)	1.00	
714 (31.04)	1.091 (0.790-1.506)	0.5982
354 (15.39)	1.322 (0.931-1.879)	0.1192
281 (12.22)	0.645 (0.403-1.034)	0.0683
32 (1.39)	0.466 (0.114-1.908)	0.2882
73 (3.17)	1.433 (0.890-2.341)	0.1371
2227 (96.83)	1.00	
2025 (88.04)	1.00	0.2462
275 (11.96)	1.233 (0.865-1.758)	
1979 (86.04)	1.00	0.8324
321 (13.96)	0.962 (0.678-1.366)	
1936 (84.17)	1.00	0.1371
364 (15.83)	1.260 (0.929-1.710)	
		0.0036
1471 (63.96)	1.00	0.0030
829 (36.04)	1.49 (1.14-1.96)	
2067 (89.87)	1.00	0.4034
233 (10.13)	0.856 (0.594-1.23)	
	354 (15.39) 281 (12.22) 32 (1.39) 73 (3.17) 2227 (96.83) 2025 (88.04) 275 (11.96) 1979 (86.04) 321 (13.96) 1936 (84.17) 364 (15.83) 1471 (63.96) 829 (36.04) 2067 (89.87)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA clearance status of each drug or medical device he or she wishes to use in clinical practice.