

### **A Comparison of Helical Blade and Lag Screw Fixation in the Treatment of Intertrochanteric Hip Fractures with Cephalomedullary Nails**

*Garrett Cavanaugh, MD; Noelle L. Van Rysselberghe, MD; Christian A. Gonzalez, BS; John B. Michaud, BA; Mathew James Whittaker, MD; Harin Parikh, MD; Juntian Wang, MD; Abrianna Robles, BS; Andrea Horne, CCRP; Garrett W. Esper, BA; Arya Amirhekmata, BS; Eleni Berhaneselase, BA; Natalie Marie Marengi, MD; Daniel Ngo, BA; Marisa McDow, BS; Christopher G. Herbosa, BS; Maricela Diaz, MA; Uchechukwu Emili, MD; Zachary Lim, MD; Dmitry Pokhvashchev, MD, PhD; Aden Malik, MD; Edmond F. O'Donnell, MD, PhD; Muhammad Umar Jawad, MD; Sean T. Campbell, MD; Milton TM Little, MD; Walt W. Virkus, MD; Philipp Leucht, MD; Matthew R. Garner, MD; Mark A. Lee, MD; John A. Scolaro, MD, MA; Marschall Berkes, MD; Saam Morshed, MD, PhD, MPH; Stephen J. Warner, MD, PhD; Paul William Perdue, MD; Eben Carroll, MD; Justin Lucas, MD; Julius Bishop, MD; L. Henry H. Goodnough, MD, PhD; Michael J. Gardner, MD*

**Purpose:** The objective was to compare failure rates of helical blade versus lag screw fixation in intertrochanteric femur fractures treated with the Trochanteric Fixation Nail-Advanced (TFNA).

**Methods:** Data from 13 Level I trauma centers were retrospectively analyzed. Patients with intertrochanteric femur fractures (AO/OTA 31A1-A3) treated between January 2014 and May 2021, inclusive, with TFNA were identified. Pathologic fractures and those with less than 3 months of follow-up were excluded. Rates of fixation failure, reoperation, and nonunion were compared when a lag screw was used vs a helical blade. Fixation failure was defined as perforation of the femoral head with the helical blade or lag screw due to collapse or varus angulation.

**Results:** A total of 767 cases were analyzed. 454 (59.2%) were female and median age was 77 years. 458 (59.7%) were treated using a lag screw and 309 (40.3%) using a helical blade. Fixation failure occurred in 23 (3%) total cases: 11 (2.4%) in the lag screw group versus 12 (3.9%) in the helical blade group ( $P = 0.238$ ). Reoperation was needed in 45 (5.9%) cases: 21 (4.6%) in the lag screw group versus 24 (7.8%) in the helical blade group ( $P = 0.066$ ). Nonunion occurred in 13 (1.7%) cases: 9 (2.0%) in the lag screw group versus 4 (1.3%) in the helical blade group ( $P = 0.48$ ).

**Conclusion:** No statistically significant differences were observed in rates of fixation failure, reoperation or nonunion between intertrochanteric fractures when a TFNA lag screw was used versus a TFNA helical blade. Reoperation rates approached statistical significance, occurring in 7.8% of cases where a helical blade was used compared to 4.6% of cases where a lag screw was used. While earlier reports have suggested a higher rate of fixation failure with use of the helical blade, this study supports more recent findings demonstrating no significant difference in early outcomes between the use of a helical blade and lag screw.